

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT TACOMA

9 LENIER AYERS,

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 HENRY RICHARDS, *et al*,

13 Defendants.

Case No. C08-5390 BHS/KLS

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF
TIME

14 Before the Court is Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time to file objections to
15 "Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Request for Production of Documents." Dkt. # 104. Plaintiff
16 states that he "will express disapproval for the state's attempt to deny or refuse to produce or submit
17 previously requested discovery documents," and that he intends "to motion for the court's
18 permission to undergo tape recorded depositions." There are presently no deadlines or motions to
19 compel discovery pending before the Court. The discovery deadline in this case will not expire
20 until June 5, 2009. Dkt. # 65.

21 In addition, Plaintiff does not indicate he has met and conferred with opposing counsel prior to
22 filing this motion as required by Local Rule 37 (a)(2). Dkt. # 104. A party applying to the court for
23 an order compelling discovery "must include a certification that he has in good faith conferred or
24 attempted to confer with the person or party failing to make the discovery in an effort to secure the
25 information or material without court intervention." Fed. R. Civ. P. 37(a)(2)(B). "A good faith
26 effort to confer with a party or person not making a disclosure or discovery requires a face-to-face
27 meeting or a telephonic conference." Local Rule CR 37(a)(2)(A)

1 Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time to file
2 objections (Dkt. # 104) is **DENIED**.

3
4 DATED this 24th day of April, 2009.

5
6
7 
8 Karen L. Strombom
9 United States Magistrate Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28